

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On perturbed and supersymmetric quantum KdV equations

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L603 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/12/004) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:36

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

On perturbed and supersymmetric quantum Kav equations

A Roy Chowdhury and Partha Guha

High Energy Physics Division, Department of Physics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 700 032, India

Received 17 January 1990

Abstract. We have deduced the quantum version of the perturbed and supersymmetric $\kappa d\nu$ equation by extending an approach by Kupershmidt. Our approach also yields some quantum conservation laws in both cases. In the case of the perturbed $\kappa d\nu$ equation we show that its quantum version arises by demanding higher-order conformal invariance.

In a recent communication it was demonstrated by Kupershmidt *et al* [1] that a quantum version of the kdv equation can be deduced via the formalism of string theory and operator product expansion (OPE). The derivation is very important [2] in exhibiting the important role of the central extension term in the construction of infinite dimensional integrable systems. Prior to [1] there was attempt to construct an 'operator' version of the kdv problem by Bogoliuobsky [3], but this could not be called a quantum mechanical one. Here in this letter we show that the perturbed kdv problem discussed by Kodama [4] and the super-kdv equation studied by Kupershmidt [5], Manin [6] and Mathieu [7] possess quantum extensions, the integrability of which is guaranteed by the existence of an infinite number of conserved quantities.

Let us start with the perturbed Kdv equation written as:

$$u_t = h_0 + \varepsilon h_1 \tag{1}$$

with $h_0 = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x$

$$h_1 = a_1 u_{5x} + a_2 u u_{3x} + a_3 u_{1x} u_{2x} + a_4 u u_x^2.$$
⁽²⁾

It was shown in [4] that the nonlinear system (2) can be transformed into a Hamiltonian system by a Birkhoff-like transformation up to terms of order

$$u_t = \{u, H\} + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{2}$$

$$H[u] = H_0[u] + \varepsilon H_1[u]$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^3 - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2) dx + \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (b_3 u u_x^2 + b_4 u^4) dx \qquad (4)$$

where the Poisson bracket in (2) is defined by:

$$\{F, G\}[u] = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} F\left[u + \varepsilon g \frac{DG}{Du}\right]_{\varepsilon=0}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{DF}{Du} g \frac{DG}{Du} dx$$
(5)

0305-4470/90/120003+05\$03.50 © 1990 IOP Publishing Ltd

L603

where

$$g = \partial_x + \varepsilon [b_1 \partial_x^3 + b_2 (\partial_x u + u \partial_x)]$$
(6)

 (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) being related to (b, i = 1, 2, 3, 4) by some algebraic relations.

Now the canonical form of the quantum Kav problem as given in [1] is;

$$\dot{T} = [T, H]$$
 $H = \oint (TT) dz$ (7)

where the normal ordering is defined via

$$(AB)(z) = \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x-z} A(x)B(z) \tag{8}$$

and the κdv is easily seen to arise from (6) through the OPE

$$T(z)T(w) = \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{T'(w)}{(z-w)} + \text{regular terms.}$$
(9)

We now recapitulate the basics of the procedure for deducing the OPE of the energy momentum tensor through conformal invariance. Suppose we use complex coordinates $z = x_1 + ix_2$; $\bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2$ and the infinitesimal transformation for any function $A(z, \bar{z})$ is written as

$$A(z,\bar{z}) = \oint \mathrm{d}z' \,\varepsilon(z')[T(z'), A(z',\bar{z})] \qquad |z'| = |z|. \tag{10}$$

From the definition any primary field transforms as

$$A(z,\bar{z}) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^{\Delta} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{w}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}} A(w,\bar{w}) \tag{11}$$

 $\Delta + \overline{\Delta} = \text{conformal field dimension}$

 $\Delta = \overline{\Delta} =$ conformal spin dimension.

If w(z) is near z, that is

$$w(z) = z + \varepsilon(z)$$

then

$$A(z', z) = A'(z, \overline{z}) - A(z, \overline{z})$$

and

$$A'(z, z) = (1 + \varepsilon')^{\Delta} (1 + \overline{\varepsilon}')^{\overline{\Delta}} V(w, \overline{w})$$
$$\varepsilon'(z) = \frac{d\varepsilon(z)}{dz}.$$

Instead of the usual procedure of keeping only first-order terms in ε , we also retain terms of the order of ε^2 , so we get

$$A(z, \bar{z}) = \{\varepsilon A'(z, \bar{z}) + \Delta \varepsilon' A(z, \bar{z})\} + \left\{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}A''(z, \bar{z}) + \Delta \varepsilon \varepsilon' A'(z, \bar{z}) + \frac{\Delta(\Delta - 1)}{2}\varepsilon'^2 A(z, \bar{z})\right\}.$$

By Cauchy's theorem we get

$$A(z, \bar{z}) = \oint dz' \,\varepsilon(z') \left\{ \frac{\Delta}{(z'-z)^2} + \frac{1}{z'-z} \,\partial z \right\} A(z, \bar{z}) + \oint dz' \,\varepsilon^2(z') \left\{ \frac{\Delta(\Delta-1)}{2} + \frac{1}{(z'-z)^4} + \frac{\Delta\partial z}{(z'-z)^3} \right\} + \frac{\partial z^2}{(z'-z)^2} A(z, \bar{z}).$$
(12)

Applying (9) to the case of $T(z, \bar{z})$ with $\Delta = 2$, we can write

$$T(z')T(z) = \frac{c/2}{(z'-z)^4} + \frac{2T(z)}{(z'-z)^2} + \frac{T'(z)}{z'-z} + \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{T(z)}{(z'-z)^4} + \frac{2T'(z)}{(z'-z)^3} + \frac{T''(z)}{(z'-z)^2} \right\} + \dots$$
(13)

We now proceed to derive the perturbed quantum κdv equation with the help of equation (13). Let us consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \oint (TT)(z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \varepsilon \oint (TTT)(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \tag{14}$$

and evaluate

$$\dot{T} = [T, H] \tag{15}$$

via (13). To evaluate (15) we use the rule:

$$T(z)(TT(w)) = \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x-w} \left\{ T(z)T(x)T(w) + T(x)T(z)T(w) \right\}$$

whence we obtain (after identifying ε in (13) with ε in (12)) up to first order in ε :

$$\dot{T} = \frac{1}{6} (1-c) T'''(z) - 3(TT)'(z) + \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{9}{40} \left(1 - \frac{c}{3} \right) T''''(z) + \left(1 - \frac{c}{4} \right) (TT)''' - 5(TTT)' \right\}$$
(16)

which contains terms of all types as in Kodama's equation (2), a ε free term giving the quantum kav equation of Kupershmidt.

We now consider the supersymmetric κdv equation. To proceed we consider the superspace coordinate z and super-energy momentum tensor

$$S = G + \theta T \tag{17}$$

where θ = Grassmannian variable. If D denotes the super-covariant derivative, $D = \theta \partial/\partial z + \partial/\partial \theta$; then

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\hat{z}(SDS). \tag{18}$$

In the usual coordinates we write

$$\dot{T} = [T, H]$$

$$\dot{G} = [G, H]$$
(19)

$$H = \oint \mathrm{d}z\{(TT)(z) - (GG')(z)\}$$
(20)

L606 Letter to the Editor

where we have used { $\theta d\theta = 1$, $\int d\theta = 0$. Writing explicitly (19) can be put into the form:

$$\dot{T} = -\oint T(z)(TT)(w) \, \mathrm{d}w + \oint T(z)(GG')(w) \, \mathrm{d}w$$

$$\dot{G} = -\oint G(z)(TT)(w) \, \mathrm{d}w + \oint G(z)(GG')(w) \, \mathrm{d}w.$$
(21)

We now use the operator product expansion given by the superconformal algebra

$$T(z)T(w) \sim \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{T(w)}{(z-w)} + \dots$$

$$T(z)G(w) \sim G(z)T(w) \sim \frac{\frac{3}{2}G(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{G'(w)}{z-w} + \dots$$

$$G(z)G(w) \sim \frac{2c}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{2T(w)}{z-w} + \dots$$
(22)

Using the normal ordering via contour integrals we get

$$T = (1/2 - c/6)T''' - 3(TT)' + 3(GG')'$$

$$G = -9/4G'''(z) + 2(GT)'.$$
(23)

It is interesting to compare the coefficients in (23) with that of the classical counterpart deduced by Mathieu.

Since these equations are completely integral, they do possess an infinite number of conserved quantities. It is possible to write a few of them as follows:

$$H_{2} = \oint dz [(TT)(z) - (GG')(z)]$$

$$H_{3} = \oint dz [T(TT)(z) - 2G(G'T)(z)] + \lambda [(T'T')(z) - (G'G''')(z)]$$
(24)

in the super case and

$$C_{2} = \oint (TT) dz + \varepsilon \oint (TTT)(z) dz$$

$$C_{3} = \oint [T(TT)(z) - \frac{1}{12}(2+C)(T'T')(z)] dz + \varepsilon \oint [T(T'T') + (T(T(TT))(z)] dz \quad (25)$$

in the perturbed Kav case.

It is to be noted that these integrals of motion must be in involution, that is $\{C_i, C_j\} = 0$, which can be verified by the procedure laid down by Bais *et al* [9].

References

- [1] Kupershmidt B and Mathieu P 1989 Phys. Lett. 227 245
- [2] Olive E and Goddard P 1986 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 1 303
- [3] Bogoliuobsky O 1984 Izv. Akad. Nauk. 48 883
- [4] Kodama Y 1985 Phys. Lett. 112A; 1987 Phys. Lett. 123A 276

- [5] Kupershmidt B 1985 Phys. Lett. 109A 417
- [6] Manin Yu I and Radul A o 1985 Commun. Math. Phys. 98 65
- [7] Mathieu P 1987a preprint Laval University; 1987b preprint Laval University
- [8] Kazama Y and Suzuki H 1989 Nucl. Phys. B 321 332
- [9] Bais F A, Bouwknegt P, Surridge M and Schoulens K 1988 Nucl. Phys. B 304 348